

FOR PRESIDENT,

HORACE GREELEY,

OF New York.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT,

GRATZ BROWN,

OF Missouri.

FOR GOVERNOR,

JOHN C. BROWN, OF Giles.

FOR CONGRESS,

W. C. WHITTHORNE, OF Maryland.

For State Senator,

THOS. P. ALLISON, OF Williamson.

SENATOR SUMNER thinks GRANT

will only carry four States.

The Courier-Journal calls Senator

MORTON "a statesman." That is the

best joke of the season.

Mexico is threatened with a short

respite from revolutionary war since

the death of President JUAREZ.

Two thousand one hundred and

four papers support GREELEY, and

one thousand four hundred and nine-

teen are for GRANT.

JUDGE J. O. SHACKLEFORD, Radical

Supreme Judge in this State dur-

ing the days of BROWNLOW's reign,

has come out in an able letter in fa-

vor of GREELEY.

WM. LOWERY, one of the Robeson

county, N. C., outlaws, was killed

on the 20th by R. W. WISHART, young

brother of Col. F. M. WISHART, who

was killed by the outlaws not long

ago.

CHRISTINE NELSON, the famous

Swedish Prima Donna, was married

in Westminster Abbey, London, last

Saturday, to M. ROSSIGNOL. Dean

STANLEY performed the ceremony,

and many distinguished persons

were present.

The Louisville Courier-Journal

published a full report of the recent

speeches of SCHERZ, HENDRICKS and

VOORHEES since they were de-

livered. Such enterprise is com-

mendable and valuable during the

exciting campaign.

A CAREFUL and accurate calcula-

tion shows that President GRANT

has spent one-third of his time

in pleasure seeking away from

Washington and his duties.

Since March 4, 1869. No wonder

they are asking for another lease

of power.

GRANT and cabinet have quit the

seat of government. He is quietly

smoking his cigar at Long Branch,

and they have been engaged in mak-

ing political speeches in North Caro-

lina. Poor creatures! the people will

not punish them much longer by

keeping them at Washington.

Col. B. J. LEE, who has been

spoken of as a candidate for Con-

gress for the State at large, declines

in a public card to allow his name to

go before the State Convention

which meets at Nashville on the 21st

inst. He declines in somebody's fa-

vor, but does not name him.

ROBERT L. CARUTHERS, who an-

nounced himself a candidate for

Congress in the Nashville District,

several months since, has withdrawn

from the canvass, because many of

his supporters objected to his an-

nouncement that he was uncondi-

tionally for GREELEY and BROWN

over the Baltimore Convention, and

opposed to Conventions.

Hon. D. W. VOORHEES has made

powerful speech, in which he accept-

ed the decision of the Baltimore

Convention and comes out for GREELEY.

We defended Dr. VOORHEES from the

foolish charge that he had sold out

to GRANT, several months since, and

maintained that he was honest in his

convictions. Every Democrat that

thought with him should read his last

speech, and vote for the Cincinnati

ticket.

We publish with pleasure, to-day,

a card from Major F. C. DENNING-

ton, explaining his conduct at the

Baltimore Convention. Our article

of July 12th had general reference to

those who wished to make the Con-

vention harmonious and of none

except Mr. GREELEY, with all his

ambition, coercion and reconstruction

record, is swallowed by Mr.

DENNINGTON, but he could not

escape the platform upon which he

stands, which is much better than his

secret.

SENATOR BUTTWEILL, in a speech

in North Carolina, denounced

Hon. HORACE GREELEY's expressed

hope that the North and South

would "shake hands across the

bloody chasm" created by the war.

He insists that the chasm remain un-

filled it filled up with more uncon-

stitutional reconstruction acts, more

unrepentant and offensive laws,

more robbing and plundering of the

white people by carpet-baggers and

ignorant negroes, more trials of in-

nocent men by military court mar-

tial, and more efforts to degrade,

defraud and oppress the help-

less and suffering people of the South.

SENATOR SCHERZ, in his great speech

at St. Louis, stated that one of

GRANT's cabinet officers approached

him, a year or so ago, in the days

when the San Domingo scheme was

being pushed by GRANT, and said

he was authorized by the President to

say to him (SCHERZ) that if he would

allocate the purchase of Domingo,

he could get all the patronage he

wanted. The distinguished speaker

admitted that Mr. GRANT denied this

statement, the value of the gentle-

man referred to would be published.

When this was telegraphed to Wash-

ington, GRANT and his cabinet were

perpetrators of a crime, while the

President had had occasion before

this to test the accuracy of his recol-

lection. This last time GRANT

thrusts the time-honored President

JOHN J. JOHNSON proved by all his

Cabinet that the former had told an

untruth.

NEWSPAPERS and correspondents

are urging the nomination of Gen.

CHEATHAM for Congress for the State

at large, upon the ground that the

people owe him a debt of gratitude.

We are rather curious to know how

a debt of gratitude is due any man

for having been a Major General.

If the debt is one because he was a

Confederate soldier, there are thou-

sands of private soldiers of his divi-

sion that fought as well, suffered more

privations, and are as capable. The

patriotic services of Gen. CHEATHAM

and his gallant band should be

always cherished with pride by

Tennesseans; but it is attempting to

dishonor those services to desire

to reward them by sending either

the General or any of his division,

to Congress. The time has come

when men should be chosen to office

because of their fitness by reason of

capacity and honesty. The North,

the great victor in our recent civil

struggle, is proposing to magnani-

mously set us against our patriot-

ism by displacing their favorite mil-

itary leader from the highest office

within their gift, because of his un-

fortunate position in the war. "For-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

better for the position? If we "re-

ward" our soldiers, by giving them

offices which they are unfit to fill,

how can we expect the North to do

them, do not agree with the oft

expressed sentiments of the National

Democratic, and from many, if not

from all, of the friends of the Demo-

cratic party, it is to be regretted

that the Democratic party has been

so long in the light in which Mr.

Sumner views it, as shown by his recent

letter warning us to follow him, as

for my humble self, rather than be

the ally of such a character, on the terms

of the light in which he would place us,

I would welcome fellowship with a

minority party the remainder of my

life. "There are a few persons, it is

said, who have recently called them-

selves Democrats, but would be willing

to follow the lead of a parliamentarian

and upon the dissonance terms of

Charles Sumner; but I will not for-

get the fact of his making no allusion

to the fact that there are many such at

the South.

The adoption at Baltimore of the Cin-

cinnati platform, in the form and

manner it was done, did not accord, in

my judgment, with the feelings of any

respectable portion of the Southern de-

legates; they deferred, however, to